

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/080,089	02/21/2002		William D. McKay	RBD-100-A	8609
7590 05/14/2004			EXAMINER		
William M. H	lanlon		CHIN, RANDALL E		
Young & Basil	e, P.C.				
Suite 624			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3001 West Big	Beaver	Road	1744		
Troy, MI 480	84			DATE MALLED, 06/14/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.	4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	11)				
		10/080,089	MCKAY, WILLIAM [) .				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Randall Chin	1744					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PRISON OF THIS COMMUNICATION PRISON OF THE WASHINGTON OF THE PRISON OF THE	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty-riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this cominate of the	munication.				
Status			•	•				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
2a)□		This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6 and 9</u> is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8 and 10-17</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	thdrawn from consideration.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing (s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing (ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National St	age				
Attachmen			(DTC 115)					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB or No(s)/Mail Date <u>022102; 042402</u> .	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) l/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 6 and 9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the Paper filed April 26, 2004.

Applicant's election of the species of Figs. 1-3 and 7-10, claims 1-5, 7, 8 and 10-17 in the Paper filed April 26, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The Examiner respectfully requests clarification for the term "discontinuity" 42 shown in Figs. 1 and 2 and as described in the specification at p. 7, paragraph [0047]. Specifically, it is unclear as to what is actually <u>discontinuous</u> with respect to numeral 42 as shown. The Examiner does agree, however, that numeral 42 can serve as a "bridge."

- On p. 4, paragraph [0034], it appears the recitation "applied to the substantially" should just read –is applied to substantially".
- On p. 5, paragraph [0037], it appears "interior bore 20" should read –interior bore 21--.
 - On p. 6, paragraph [0041], it appears "roll 10' "should read roll 10 --.

 Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1744

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: pull tab 40 as recited in paragraph [0046] and tabs 53 and 55 as recited in paragraph [0050].

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. Claim 17, line 7, "tab" should read –tabs--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jaffri '788.

Jaffri '788 teaches with respect to claim 1 a tape roll for a lint removal roller assembly 01 comprising, a tape wound in a roll 11 (Figs. 1-3, for example) and formed of a substrate 03 having opposed side edges and first and second major opposed surfaces of the tape, an adhesive layer carried on one major surface, the tape wound

Application/Control Number: 10/080,089

Art Unit: 1744

into a tape roll with the adhesive layer facing outwardly from the roll, a separable edge defined by horizontal perforation 05b extending at least partially through the roll dividing the roll into a plurality of individually separable sheets 03, and a plurality of pull tabs 15 formed by a plurality of "spaced", substantially, non-adhesive portions (col. 2, lines 44-45) carried between the side edges and on one of the first and second surfaces of the substrate, one non-adhesive portion disposed in registry with the separable edge to define one pull tab on each sheet on the roll to facilitate removal of an outermost sheet from the roll. It should be noted that the term "spaced" here has been broadly interpreted and each non-adhesive portion or tab 15 on each sheet is at least "spaced" from one another as shown in Fig. 1 or "spaced" in the sense where the sheets 03 overlap one another in roll form.

As for claim 3, non-adhesive tab 15 is deemed to be a thin sheet having a substantially non-adhesive surface.

As for claim 5, non-adhesive tab 15 is in a square shape and therefore of a polygonal shape (Fig. 1).

As for claim 7, the separable edge 05b is in the form of a slit and deemed to extend at least partially through the tape roll since there are numerous sectioned individual sheets in roll form.

As for claim 8, the separable edge is a horizontal perforation 05b and thus a "planar" slit extending at least partially through the tape roll.

As for claim 12, although short in length dimension (Fig. 1), the pull tab extends "longitudinally" from the separable edge in the tape.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,089

Art Unit: 1744

As for claim 14, the non-adhesive portion 15 is a material layer fixed on the tape and having a non-adhesive surface facing outward from the adhesive coated layer on the tape (Fig. 1).

With respect to claim 15, the separable edge is co-extensive with an edge of non-adhesive portion of the pull tab (Fig. 1).

As for claim 16, the non-adhesive portions are completely non-adhesive on their outward faces and thus have "at least a partial, non-adhesive surface."

Jaffri '788 further teaches a method of producing a lint removal assembly as recited in claim 17 comprising the steps of, providing an elongate flexible substrate with first and second opposed surfaces and first and second side edges, affixing an adhesive layer on one of the first and second surfaces of the substrate, providing a plurality of pull tabs defined by a plurality of longitudinally "spaced", non-adhesive portions on the adhesive layer on the tape, winding the tape into a roll with the adhesive layer facing outwardly of the roll, forming a separable edge at least partially through the roll dividing the roll into individually separable sheets, and disposing the separable edge in registry with the non-adhesive portions on each sheet. It should be noted that the term "spaced" here has been broadly interpreted and each non-adhesive portion or tab 15 on each sheet is at least "spaced" from one another as shown in Fig. 1 or "spaced" in the sense where the sheets 03 overlap one another in roll form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaffri '788 in view of McKay 5,027,465 (hereinafter McKay '465).

The patent to Jaffri '788 teaches all of the recited subject matter as previously set forth with the exception of the separable edge being a discontinuous slit extending at least partially through the tape roll. McKay '465 teaches a tape roll having a discontinuous slit (Figs. 7, 23, 24, for example) extending at least partially through the tape roll. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Jaffri's tape roll such that there is discontinuous slit extending at least partially through the tape roll as suggested by McKay '465 in order to maintain the retentive continuous integrity of the tape roll on the roller.

9. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaffri '788 in view of Japan 4-226581.

The patent to Jaffri '788 teaches all of the recited subject matter as previously set forth with the exception of the separable edge including planar and non-planar portions.

The Japan 4-226581 reference teaches in Fig. 2 a dust/lint tape roll having a separable edge including planar and non-planar portions on each sheet. It would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/080,089

Art Unit: 1744

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Jaffri's separable edge such that the edge includes planar and non-planar portions on each sheet as taught by Japan 4-225581 for the purpose of providing a visually distinct and easily recognizable portion for a user to lift a sheet from the roll.

10. Claims 2, 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaffri '788 in view of Imsande '811.

The patent to Jaffri '788 teaches all of the recited subject matter as previously set forth with the exception of a substantially non-adhesive coating formed in a plurality of longitudinal spaced locations on one of the first and second major surfaces of the tape and wherein the thin sheet is adhesively fixed to the adhesive layer on the tape.

Imsande '811 teaches a substantially non-adhesive coating (col. 2, lines 40-43) on a pull tab 18 formed on one of the first and second major surfaces of an adhesive sheet and wherein the thin sheet is deemed "adhesively" fixed (at least in a broader sense) to the adhesive layer since it is "print deposited" thereon. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Jaffri's non-adhesive portion such that a substantially non-adhesive coating is formed on one of the first and second major surfaces of the tape and wherein the thin sheet is adhesively fixed to the adhesive layer on the tape as suggested by Imsande '811 for the purpose of reducing the overall thickness of the roller (or stack) and ensuring a minimal cumulative thickness.

Art Unit: 1744

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Seeley is pertinent to a label web with slits at edge portions of the sheets and Cho is relevant to a roller with non-adhesive portions between sheets.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Randall Chin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1270. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden, can be reached at (571) 272-1281. The number for Technology Center 1700 is (571) 272-1700.

The central fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 9

· R. Chin

Randall Chin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744